



## Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

---

Theses and Dissertations

Thesis Collection

---

1965

The determination of Richardson number and roughness parameter at ocean vessel Victor.

Riley, Larry M.

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/11982>



Calhoun is a project of the Dudley Knox Library at NPS, furthering the precepts and goals of open government and government transparency. All information contained herein has been approved for release by the NPS Public Affairs Officer.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

NPS ARCHIVE  
1965  
RILEY, L.

THE DETERMINATION OF RICHARDSON NUMBER  
AND ROUGHNESS PARAMETER AT  
OCEAN VESSEL "VICTOR"

LARRY M. RILEY

U.S. NAVY & MARINE CORPS  
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY  
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
MONTEREY CA 93943-5101









THE DETERMINATION OF RICHARDSON NUMBER AND  
ROUGHNESS PARAMETER AT OCEAN VESSEL "VICTOR"

\* \* \* \* \*

Larry M. Riley





THE DETERMINATION OF RICHARDSON NUMBER AND  
ROUGHNESS PARAMETER AT OCEAN VESSEL "VICTOR"

by

Larry M. Riley

//  
Lieutenant, United States Navy

Submitted in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE  
IN  
METEOROLOGY

United States Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California

1 9 6 5



THE DETERMINATION OF RICHARDSON NUMBER AND  
ROUGHNESS PARAMETER AT OCEAN VESSEL "VICTOR"

by

Larry M. Riley

This work is accepted as fulfilling  
the thesis requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

METEOROLOGY

from the

United States Naval Postgraduate School



## ABSTRACT

Research on wind and temperature profiles over land have established a number of fundamental relationships that are tested here over an oceanic location. Most attempts at calculating the roughness parameter over oceanic surfaces have been based on surface-layer theories, and often employ an iterative procedure in approaching the sea surface. This paper employs a similarity relationship between the wind and temperature profiles, using data at ship "Victor" appropriate to forced convective theory, as defined by Priestley.

The theory of forced convection, as applied here, makes it necessary to compute the Richardson number and the Monin-Obukhov scale length as preliminaries to the computation of roughness parameter. The roughness parameter and friction velocity are obtained from the theory using an iterative procedure. The wind speed at 20 meters is correlated against scale length and roughness parameter. The latter makes very little contribution to the variance of the windspeed while the scale length contributes in a manner reasonably expected from theory.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Title                                                       | Page |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.      | Introduction                                                | 1    |
| 2.      | Important properties of the forced-convective surface layer | 3    |
| 3.      | Derivation of a specific value for $(Ri)_{1.41}$            | 5    |
| 4.      | Iterative procedure for solution of roughness parameter     | 9    |
| 5.      | Results and conclusions                                     | 12   |
| 6.      | Acknowledgments                                             | 16   |
| 7.      | Bibliography                                                | 17   |
| 8.      | Appendix                                                    | 18   |





## List of Symbols

| Symbol     | Definition                                           |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| $u_n$      | Wind speed at n meters height                        |
| $Z$        | Height in centimeters above the water surface        |
| $k$        | Von Karman: Constant                                 |
| $u^*$      | Friction velocity                                    |
| $L$        | Monin-Obukhov scale length                           |
| $T^*$      | Scaling temperature                                  |
| $H$        | Eddy heat transport in the vertical                  |
| $R_i$      | Richardson number                                    |
| $g$        | Acceleration of gravity (980 cms)                    |
| $C_p$      | Specific heat of air                                 |
| $P$        | Density of air                                       |
| $Z_0$      | Roughness parameter                                  |
| $\theta$   | Potential temperature                                |
| $S$        | Non-dimensional wind shear after Monin & Obukhov     |
| $K_H$      | Eddy diffusivity for heat conduction                 |
| $K_M$      | Eddy diffusivity for momentum flux in two directions |
| $K_{6-20}$ | Ratio of wind at 600 cms to that at 2,000 cms.       |



| Symbol     | Definition                                                                                           |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $K_{1.4}$  | Ratio of $(Z \frac{dy}{dz})_{1.4}$ to the wind difference at 20 meters minus that at ten centimeters |
| $\tau$     | Surface stress                                                                                       |
| $C_{1.4}$  | A more exact form of $K_{1.4}$                                                                       |
| $v_g/fZ_o$ | Surface Rossby number                                                                                |



## 1. Introduction

Studies of the roughness parameter,  $Z_0$ , have been numerous over land and a number of fundamental relationships using various meteorological parameters have been determined. Far less research has been accomplished in this field over the oceans. This is readily understandable when one considers the expense and difficulty in erecting and maintaining an instrumented platform at sea. With this in mind, one could turn to what should be a prime source of data--the ocean station weather ships.

Certain problems become evident very quickly when considering station Victor. Four different ships occupy this station and only two have the same anemometer height. This, at first, seemed to present an insurmountable problem in using the data but the theoretical error involved in using a median height of twenty meters instead of actual heights is less than two and one half percent. When considering that winds are recorded only to the nearest knot and temperature to the nearest degree, the error introduced in the wind profiles by considering all heights equal to twenty meters is insignificant.

Monin and Obukhov [3], in their universal similarity theory introduced three scaling parameters that are considered essentially invariant with height for layers near the ground. These three



parameters are scaling velocity,  $u^*$ , scaling length,  $L$ , and scaling temperature,  $T^*$ , and have been well described in a recent text (Lumley and Panofsky) [1] on atmospheric turbulence.  $L$ ,  $u^*$  and  $T^*$  are defined as follows:

$$L = - \frac{u^{*3} c_p \rho T}{k g H} \quad (1)$$

$$T^* = - \frac{H}{k u^* c_p \rho} \quad (2)$$

$$u^* = \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\rho}} \quad (3)$$

It should be noted that a selection of cases has been limited to cases for which  $-Ri \leq .032$  holds. According to Priestley's criterion [4] our restriction limits the study to the cases of "forced convection". Here  $Ri$  refers to the turbulent parameter

$$Ri = \frac{g \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}}{\theta (\frac{\partial u}{\partial z})^2} \quad (4)$$

and is to be distinguished from the flux Richardson number  $R_f$ , defined as

$$R_f = \frac{K_H}{K_M} Ri \quad (5)$$





Under normal circumstances, it is considerably easier to deal with  $R_i$  than with  $R_f$ , since the factor  $K_H / K_M$  is itself an increasing function of  $R_i$ .

## 2. Important properties of the forced-convective surface layer

According to the similarity theory for the near-neutral, or forced convection case (as defined in section 1), the wind profile can be written

$$U = \frac{u^*}{k} \left( \ln \frac{z}{z_0} + \frac{\theta' z}{L} \right) \quad (6)$$

In a like manner the temperature profile can be written, after Lumley and Panofsky

$$\theta - \theta_0 = T^* \left( \ln \frac{z}{z_0} + \frac{\theta' z}{L} \right) \quad (7)$$

In both (6) and (7), Lumley and Panofsky [1] suggest the value  $\theta' = 4.5$ .

By dividing equation (7) by equation (6), and taking the derivative of both numerator and denominator with respect to height, one has a relationship governing the constants  $T^*$  and  $u^*/k$ :

$$\frac{T^*}{\left(\frac{u^*}{k}\right)} = \frac{\partial \theta / \partial z}{\partial U / \partial z} \quad (8)$$



Relationship (8) means that the change in the temperature over a given height is proportional to the change in the wind over the same height. Even more important, this ratio is constant with height since  $T^*$ ,  $u^*$ , and  $k$  are all considered constant in the surface layer.

The ratio of these constants  $T^*/(u^*/k)$  may be determined from the air temperature at psychrometer height (six meters) and the temperature at  $Z_0$ , assumed to be the same as the sea surface temperature, so that equation (8) may be written, in finite-difference form centered at three meters as

$$\frac{T^*}{(u^*/k)} = \frac{\theta_6 - \theta_0}{u_6 - u_0} \quad (9)$$

Here  $u_0$  is the wind speed at  $Z_0$  and is zero. By equation (8) this ratio is constant at all levels in the surface layer, including that at 1.41 meters.

From the definition of  $L$ , it follows that  $L$  satisfies the identity

$$\frac{Z}{L} = \frac{K_H}{K_M} Ri S \quad (10)$$

However, Priestley [4] has introduced a surface layer scale length  $L'$  given by

$$L' = L \frac{K_H}{K_M} \quad (11)$$



so that (10) becomes

$$\frac{Z}{L} = Ri S \quad (12)$$

a formula lacking the troublesome ratio  $K_H/K_M$ , which has been found to depend upon  $Ri$ . But from the definition of  $T^*$ , we have

$$\frac{-H}{C_p \rho T^*} = \frac{U_*^2}{(U_*^2/\rho)}$$

or

$$\frac{K_H (\partial \theta / \partial Z)}{T^*} = \frac{K_M \partial u / \partial Z}{U_*^2 / \rho} \quad (13)$$

From (13), together with the similarity profiles (6) and (7), which apply in the case of forced convection, it follows that  $K_H = K_M$ , and therefore  $L = L'$ . This is a fundamental property characteristic of similarity profiles in forced convection.

### 3. Derivation of a specific value for $(Ri)_{1.41}$

From equations (4) and (9), the Richardson number is expressible in the form

$$Ri = \frac{g Z (\theta_b - \theta_0)}{u_b^2 \theta_0} \left( Z \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z} \right)_{1.41} \quad (14)$$

where every parameter in (14) is now known except for the quantities  $u_b$  and  $(Z \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z})_{1.41}$ .



The surface-layer wind profile is assumed to be valid to heights of twenty meters. The windspeed  $u_6$  may then be related to  $u_{20}$  by a new parameter through

$$u_6 = u_{20} K_{6-20} \quad (15)$$

where  $K_{6-20}$  is formed from the ratio of  $u_6$  to  $u_{20}$ . This now leaves the quantity  $(Z \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z})_{1.41}$  as the sole unknown in equation (14). One may approximate this quantity by forming the ratio of two wind differences centered at 1.41 meters. In the following equations, subscripts denote the height of a variable in meters although substitution in centimeters is generally required. For example we have

$$(Z \frac{\partial u}{\partial Z})_{1.41} \doteq \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta \ln Z} = \frac{u_2 - u_1}{\ln 2} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{u_2 - u_1}{\ln 2}}{\frac{u_{20} - u_{0.1}}{\ln 200}} = \frac{(1 + \frac{450}{\ln 2})}{(1 + \frac{8955}{\ln 200})} \quad (17)$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\frac{u_2 - u_1}{\ln 2} \doteq u_{20} K_{1.4} \quad (18)$$

Now the last two unknowns in equation (14) are found in terms of known or measured quantities. Following Martin [2],

$$K_{1.4} = \frac{(1 + \frac{450}{\ln 2})}{(1 + \frac{8955}{\ln 200})} \quad (19)$$





and, similarly,

$$K_{6-20} = 1 - \frac{(\ln \frac{20}{6} + \frac{6300}{L})}{(\ln \frac{200}{Z_0} + \frac{8955}{L})} \quad (20)$$

with all values in centimeters.  $K_{6-20}$  may be approximated satisfactorily for obtaining Ri by omitting  $\ln Z_0$ .

The right sides of (15) and (16) are now known as a function of L so that (14) may be solved for Ri as

$$Ri = \frac{gZ}{K_{1.4}(L) K_{6-20}(L) \theta_0 u_{20}^2} \quad (21)$$

where  $K_{1.4}$ , and  $K_{6-20}$  are given as functions of L in (19), (20).

In the forced convection regime, Lumley and Panofsky show that the Richardson number may be written as

$$Ri = \frac{Z}{1 + \frac{18Z}{4L}} \quad , \quad K_H = K_M \quad (22)$$

Eliminating Ri between (21) and (22) leads to a quadratic in L, the only meaningful root of which is given by

$$L = \frac{A + (A^2 - C)^{1/2}}{B} \quad (23)$$

$$A = 1.49(\ln 200) - 7 \ln \frac{10}{3} - 9000 Ri' (\ln 200 + \ln 2000)$$

$$B = 2 g Ri' (\ln 200)(\ln 2000) \quad (24)$$

$$C = 4 [g Ri']^2 (\ln 200)(\ln 2000) (81 \times 10^6) - 900 g Ri' (\ln 200)(\ln 2000)]$$

where

$$Ri' = \frac{g (\theta_0 - \theta_0)}{\theta_0 u_{20}^2}$$



Ri may now be obtained by solving equation (22) with  $Z=141.1$  cm and the value of L obtained from (23).

The quadratic equation defined by (23) and (24) had as input data only windspeeds at 20 meters, and temperature - differences measured from the surface to 6 m. Only one data-set was selected at ship Victor per day, and these were selected with a view toward insuring cases of forced convection: for example, a vertical temperature difference less than or equal to  $6^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and windspeeds as large as possible under these circumstances were selected. A table of Ri values computed as a function of both  $u_{20}$  and  $\theta_6 - \theta_0$  is included in the Appendix.



#### 4. Iterative procedure of solution of roughness parameter

Following Martin [2], use is made of equation (6) to obtain the quantity  $u_{20} - u_{0.1}$  in the form

$$u_{20} - u_{0.1} = \frac{U^*}{k} (2.3026)(2.30103) + \frac{8955}{9000} \left( \frac{9000}{L} \right) \left( \frac{U^*}{k} \right) \quad (25)$$

But with  $u_{20}$  as follows

$$u_{20} = 2.3026 \left( \frac{U^*}{k} \right) \log_{10} \frac{2000}{Z_0} + \left( \frac{U^*}{k} \right) \left( \frac{9000}{L} \right) \quad (26)$$

one obtains the result,  $u_{0.1}$ , given in (27)

$$u_{0.1} = .005 u_{20} + \frac{U^*}{k} (2.2988) \left( 1 - \frac{2.2911}{2.2988} \log_{10} Z_0 \right) \quad (27)$$

By using the Monin-Obukhov normalized wind shear

$$S = \frac{k}{U^*} Z \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z}$$

and (12), it follows that

$$\frac{U^*}{k} = \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \ln Z} \right)_{1.4} \left( \frac{L Ri}{Z} \right)_{1.4} = \left( \frac{u_2 - u_1}{\ln 2} \right) \left( \frac{L Ri}{Z} \right)_{1.4} \quad (28)$$

Because  $Z_0$  is small compared to 20 meters, the quantity

$\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \ln Z} \right)_{1.4}$  will be rewritten involving a larger span, as follows

$$\frac{\frac{u_2 - u_1}{\ln 2}}{u_{20} - u_{0.1}} = C_{1.4}$$



where  $C_{1.4}$  will be defined immediately below.

$$\left( \frac{\Delta U}{\Delta \ln Z} \right)_{1.4} \doteq \frac{U_2 - U_1}{\ln 2} = C_{1.4} (U_{20} - U_{0.1})$$

From (6), we then have

$$C_{1.4} = \frac{U_2 - U_1}{\ln 2} / (U_{20} - U_{0.1}) = \frac{1}{\ln 200} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{649.2}{L}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1681.4}{L}\right)} \quad (29)$$

Combining (28) and (29) leads to

$$\frac{U^*}{K} = \left( \frac{L R i}{Z} \right)_{1.4} C_{1.4} (U_{20} - U_{0.1}) \quad (30)$$

where  $C_{1.4}$  is defined in (29).

A third equation used in the iteration-process is

$$U_{0.1} = \frac{U^*}{K} (2.3026)(1 - \log_{10} Z_0) \left(1 + \frac{45}{L}\right) \quad (31)$$

but this has already been built into equation (25). The latter may be used for more accurate determination of  $Z_0$  once an earlier iterate, or satisfactory guess, has been established. Equation (31) assumes the use of equation (6) to an elevation of 10 cm above mean sea level.

To adopt an efficient iterative method which does not lay too much emphasis on the last 10 cm, we must give proper weight to the layer 0-20 m. Here, we use equations (27) and (30) with  $u_{0.1}$  eliminated. This leads to the result





$$\frac{u^*}{k} = \frac{C_{1.4} \left( \frac{L R_i}{Z} \right)_{1.4} (0.995)}{1 - C_{1.4} \left( \frac{L R_i}{Z} \right)_{1.4} (2.2988 - 2.2911 \log_{10} Z_0)} \quad (32)$$

and, of course, we also have

$$u_{20} = \frac{u^*}{k} \left( \ln 200 + \ln \frac{10}{Z_0} + \frac{9000}{L} \right) \quad (33)$$

The iteration process may be summarized as follows:

1. Assume  $Z_0^{(1)} = 0.1$  and obtain a corresponding estimate of  $(u^*/k)^{(1)}$  from (32).
2. With this first estimate of  $(u^*/k)^{(1)}$ , use equation (33), with  $u_{20}$  and  $L$  known, to determine  $Z_0^{(2)}$ .
3. Repeat the procedure using  $Z_0^{(2)}$  in (32) to get a second estimate of  $(u^*/k)^{(2)}$ .
4. Repeat the iteration until a final residue is obtained:

$$|Z_0^{(n)} - Z_0^{(n-1)}| \leq 10^{-4}$$

When this has been accomplished  $Z_0$  is taken to be  $Z_0^{(n)}$ , and  $(u^*/k)^{(n)}$  is the appropriate value from either (32) or (33).

One safeguard must be followed in the use of (32): the denominator of the right side must not be allowed to become zero or negative. However, the use of (33) helps to restrict  $(u^*/k)$  to reasonable, non-negative values.



The results, giving  $Z_0$ ,  $u^*/k$  as well as  $u_{20}$ ,  $Ri'$ ,  $L$  and  $Ri$  are listed in Table 1 (Appendix). In addition, some statistical relationships between the parameters are also considered in the following section. The raw data considered was drawn from 30 randomly selected dates. The value of  $L$  is computed from equation (23), while  $Ri_{1.41}$  is obtained from (22).

The computations were made using data cards obtained from the National Weather Records Center, Asheville, North Carolina, for ocean station "Victor". All cards selected were for 0300Z, the observation nearest noon local time, from the months of November and December of 1960 and of March 1961. The number of intervals between successive days was considered a random function of the date. Wind values were tabulated in this paper in centimeters per second, and temperatures in degrees Celsius. Sea conditions during the period were not considered, with the exception that no major storms were in the immediate area.

## 5. Results and conclusions

The values of  $u_{20}$ ,  $L$  and  $Z_0$  for the sample of 30 cases were subjected to a multiple regression analysis leading to a result of form

$$u_{20} = -30.48Z_0 - 0.0053L + 757.97 \quad (34)$$

variable 3      variable 1      variable 2



Of the two independent variables tested in (34),  $Z_0$  plays an insignificant part in that its partial regression coefficient was -0.00969. When the mean value of  $\bar{Z}_0 = 0.1066$  cm was inserted into (34), the simple regression equation

$$u_{20} = -0.00531L + 754.76 \quad (35)$$

resulted. The variable  $L$  had a partial correlation coefficient of -0.56153, so that its effect upon the reduction of the variance of  $u_{20}$  was sufficiently strong that resulting  $F$ -value due to the regression involving  $L$  was 6.576. This  $F$ -value, with 2 and 27 degrees of freedom, is significant at a level in excess of the 99 percent confidence level. This result is not surprising from the manner in which  $1/L$  enters  $u_{20}$ , Eq. 33. A brief summary of some of the other statistics obtained are listed:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{20} &= 987.07 \text{ cms} & \bar{Z}_0 &= 0.10662 \text{ cm} & \bar{L} &= -43,773.6 \text{ cm} \\ \sigma_u &= 378.47 \text{ cms} & \sigma_{Z_0} &= 0.10238 \text{ cm} & \sigma_L &= 40,682.6 \text{ cm} \\ & & r_{31.2} &= -0.00961 & r_{32.1} &= -0.56153 \end{aligned}$$



The most surprising fact regarding the statistics, and equation (34) is the complete unimportance of the variable  $Z_0$  in describing  $u_{20}$ . At first glance, equation (33) would suggest a much stronger dependence, since  $-\ln Z_0$  is one of the terms of the right side, and this should indicate that  $u_{20}$  decreases with increasing  $Z_0$ . This is a result presented by many writers, who emphasize the tendency for  $u$  to decrease with increasing surface Rossby number  $Vg/fZ_0$ . However, from equation (33) it can be seen that

$$u_{20} = \left(\frac{u^*}{k}\right)_n \frac{\left(1 + \frac{649.2}{L}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\ln \frac{10}{Z_0}}{\ln 200} + \frac{1681.4}{L}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{1681.4}{L}\right)} \quad (36)$$

where  $(u^*/k)_n$  is the neutral value of  $(u^*/k)$ . That part of  $(u^*/k)$  which depends upon stability is primarily incorporated in the ratio

$$\left(1 + \frac{649.2}{L}\right) / \left(1 + \frac{1681.4}{L}\right)$$

so that the neutral part of  $(u^*/k)$  is essentially

$$\left(\frac{u^*}{k}\right) / C_{1.4}$$

The final point to be emphasized here then is as follows:

Within equation (36) the term  $-\ln Z_0$  tends to reduce  $u_{20}$ . However, carefully measured results of Hay (1955) [5] indicate that

$$(u^{*2})_n = 13.1 g Z_0$$





so that  $u^*$  may be expected to increase in the boundary layer as  $Z_0$  increases. Obviously these two effects oppose one another, with a wind-increasing tendency from  $(u^*/k)$  and a wind-decreasing tendency arising from  $-\ln Z_0$  within the bracket of (36). This oddity resulting from opposite effects produced by an increase in  $Z_0$  appears to explain the lack of correlation of  $u_{20}$  with  $Z_0$ .

No statistical analysis involving  $u^*/k$  with  $Z_0$  has been made but their values are listed in the Appendix, and may serve as the basis of future research on the subject.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer is deeply indebted to Professor F. L. Martin, Department of Meteorology and Oceanography, for his suggestions and continued help throughout the investigations and during preparation of this paper.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Lumley, J. L. and H. A. Panofsky (1964). The Structure of Atmospheric Turbulence, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
2. Martin, F. L. (1965). Unpublished manuscript.
3. Monin, A. S. and A. M. Obukhov (1954). Basic regularity in turbulent mixing in the surface layer of the atmosphere. Trudy Geophys. Inst. ANSSR, No. 24.
4. Priestley, C. H. B. (1959). Turbulent Transfer in the Lower Atmosphere, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
5. Hay, J. S. (1955). Some observations of air flow over the sea. Quart. j. R. Met. Soc. 81, 1955, 307-19.



## APPENDIX I

Table of selected micrometeorological parameters in the surface layer.

| $u_z$  | $\Delta T(^{\circ}\text{C})$ | $Ri^*(10^{-8})$ | L           | Ri     | $u^*/k$  | $z_0$   |
|--------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|----------|---------|
| 1081.5 | -3.88                        | -1.15           | -25197.329  | -.0057 | 112.510  | .098703 |
| 412.0  | -4.44                        | -9.02           | -4900.552   | -.0327 | 56.065   | .250295 |
| 309.0  | -1.66                        | -6.00           | -6399.332   | -.0243 | 135.457  | .34632  |
| 1030.0 | -3.88                        | -1.26           | -23040.770  | -.0063 | 107.509  | .060164 |
| 1339.0 | -2.22                        | -0.43           | -64212.942  | -.0022 | 171.079  | .709676 |
| 1081.5 | -2.77                        | -0.82           | -34474.195  | -.0041 | 111.440  | .01238  |
| 1442.0 | -2.77                        | -0.46           | -59822.933  | -.0024 | 146.968  | .145697 |
| 515.0  | -1.11                        | -1.44           | -20410.493  | -.0071 | 54.025   | .126881 |
| 1442.0 | -6.11                        | -1.01           | -28242.996  | -.0050 | 149.440  | .06137  |
| 1133.0 | -2.77                        | -0.75           | -37639.751  | -.0038 | 116.493  | .042618 |
| 618.0  | -2.22                        | -1.98           | -15405.752  | -.0095 | 65.760   | .095000 |
| 1133.0 | -1.11                        | -0.29           | -92272.089  | -.0015 | 114.868  | .111753 |
| 2060.0 | -2.22                        | -0.18           | -150915.860 | -.0009 | 208.067  | .158484 |
| 875.5  | -4.44                        | -1.98           | -15426.860  | -.0095 | 93.154   | .089827 |
| 772.5  | -.55                         | -0.32           | -85614.909  | -.0017 | 78.378   | .098703 |
| 618.0  | -1.11                        | -0.99           | -28764.428  | -.0049 | 64.009   | .035126 |
| 721.0  | -3.88                        | -2.55           | -12423.038  | -.0119 | 77.801   | .208904 |
| 875.5  | -1.66                        | -0.74           | -37875.237  | -.0038 | 55.825   | .174716 |
| 1133.0 | -2.79                        | -0.74           | -37980.775  | -.0038 | 116.468  | .098703 |
| 1030.0 | -2.22                        | -0.71           | -39240.355  | -.0036 | 105.800  | .098703 |
| 721.0  | -3.33                        | -2.18           | -14163.219  | -.0103 | 98.529   | .738132 |
| 1133.0 | -0.56                        | -0.15           | -184229.295 | -.0008 | 104.304  | .062660 |
| 1133.0 | -3.89                        | -1.02           | -29043.613  | -.0051 | 117.4444 | .098703 |
| 1287.5 | -2.78                        | -0.56           | -49075.979  | -.0029 | 147.914  | .315771 |
| 1081.5 | -1.11                        | -0.32           | -85030.331  | -.0017 | 109.737  | .018613 |
| 1236.0 | -1.11                        | -0.25           | -110239.246 | -.0013 | 125.113  | .057789 |
| 1545.0 | -6.11                        | -0.86           | -32759.215  | -.0044 | 148.858  | .087884 |
| 772.5  | -1.11                        | -0.63           | -44052.566  | -.0032 | 79.153   | .098703 |
| 618.0  | -1.67                        | -1.48           | -19944.649  | -.0073 | 37.485   | .023398 |
| 721.0  | -3.89                        | -2.54           | -12462.568  | -.0118 | 65.775   | .103646 |















thesR47

The determination of Richardson number a



3 2768 001 91331 2

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY